FACULTY OF CIVIL ENGINEERING & TECHNOLOGY FKTA
Universiti Malaysia Perlis (UniMAP)
FKTA Postgraduate Colloquium 2024
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PERLIS UniMAP

Review of Microplastic Extraction Techniques: Focus on Density Separation and Hydrogen Peroxide Applications

Syazwaana Mohd Noor*, Sara Yasina Yusuf

Faculty of Civil Engineering & Technology, Universiti Malaysia Perlis (UniMAP), Perlis, Malaysia.

KEYWORDS

Microplastic extraction
Microplastic recovery
Density separation

ABSTRACT

Microplastics are pervasive pollutants found in various environmental matrices, including marine sediments, soils, atmosphere, and sewage sludge. Their presence poses significant risks to ecosystems and human health, necessitating effective extraction methods for accurate analysis and quantification. This review focuses on density separation as one of the most commonly used techniques for microplastic extraction. We discuss the principles, effectiveness, optimization strategies, and emerging methods in this field. Additionally, we explore the role of hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) in microplastic extraction from organic-rich matrices, along with recent advancements in density separation devices.

1. INTRODUCTION

Microplastics (MPs) have been identified as significant environmental contaminants, with their presence in marine, terrestrial, and atmospheric systems posing a potential threat to ecosystems and human health. Due to their small size and varied chemical compositions, the accurate extraction and quantification of MPs are essential for environmental monitoring and risk assessments. Density separation remains one of the most widely employed techniques for isolating MPs from environmental matrices, exploiting the differences in densities between MPs and the surrounding matrix. This review aims to summarize the principles, effectiveness, and optimization of density separation, while also examining the role of hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) and other emerging extraction techniques.

2. PRINCIPLES OF DENSITY SEPARATION

Density separation capitalizes on the differing densities between microplastics and environmental matrices. By suspending samples in a dense liquid, such as sodium chloride (NaCl), sodium iodide (NaI), or zinc bromide ($ZnBr_2$) solutions, microplastics can be selectively separated [1-3]. The microplastics float to the surface, leaving heavier particles behind. This method is widely used due to its simplicity, cost-effectiveness, and ability to extract a wide range of microplastic sizes and types. However, the selection of the dense liquid is crucial, as it influences recovery rates and particle size limitations.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Recovery Rates

Density separation methods exhibit varied recovery rates depending on the type and size of the microplastics. Studies have demonstrated that denser solutions like NaI and $ZnBr_2$ yield higher recovery rates compared to NaCl, particularly for smaller, denser particles [1-3]. The efficiency of microplastic recovery can be further optimized by adjusting the concentration of the dense liquid and incorporating auxiliary methods such as heating or magnetic assistance. For instance, NaH_2PO_4 solution has been shown to recover a broader range of microplastics, including high-density polymers that NaCl fails to isolate [4].

3.2 Size and Type of Microplastics

The size and type of microplastics also significantly affect the extraction efficiency. Smaller and denser particles, such as polyethylene terephthalate (PET) and polystyrene, are more easily recovered using high-density solutions like NaI. However, very small particles (sub-micron) often present challenges, as they may not fully separate due to their low buoyancy.

4. COMPARATIVE METHODS FOR MICROPLASTIC EXTRACTION

4.1 Pressurized Solvent Extraction vs. Density Separation

While density separation is effective for isolating larger particles, pressurized solvent extraction (PSE) tends to provide higher recoveries for smaller microplastics [5]. PSE utilizes solvents under high pressure to break down surrounding matrices and recover microplastics, but it is

*Corresponding author: syazwaanamn@studentmail.unimap.edu.my

more complex and resource-intensive compared to density separation.

4.2 Emerging Methods

Recent advancements have led to the development of alternative techniques, including oil-based, electrostatic, and magnetic separation methods [6]. These emerging approaches offer promising advantages, such as cost-efficiency, reduced environmental impact, and the ability to recover a broader range of microplastic types. However, they often require specialized equipment, which limits their widespread adoption.

5. CHALLENGES AND CONSIDERATIONS

5.1 Organic-Rich Matrices

Microplastic extraction from complex organic-rich matrices such as sludge and soil presents unique challenges. Organic matter, such as decaying plant material and microorganisms, can interfere with microplastic recovery by forming aggregates. Fenton's reagent has been identified as an optimal solution for removing organic matter while preserving microplastic integrity [7]. Nonetheless, methods like hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) are also highly effective in removing organic matter without degrading microplastics.

5.2 Hazardous Materials

Some dense liquids used in density separation, such as NaI and $ZnBr_2$, can be hazardous, posing risks to human health and the environment. Sodium chloride (NaCl) remains the most commonly used dense liquid due to its low cost, availability, and relatively low environmental impact. However, its recovery rates for certain microplastics, particularly those with higher densities, are lower compared to other solutions like NaI and $ZnBr_2$ [2].

5.3 Practical Applications and Optimization

Ongoing optimization efforts aim to refine density separation methods to improve recovery rates and broaden their applicability to various environmental samples. This includes adjusting the density of separation solutions and integrating additional steps like heating or magnetic separation to enhance efficiency [4,8,9].

6. HYDROGEN PEROXIDE IN MICROPLASTIC EXTRACTION

6.1 Effectiveness of H_2O_2 in Microplastic Extraction

Hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) is widely used to extract microplastics from organic-rich matrices, such as soil, sludge, and sediments. Pre-digestion with 30% H_2O_2 significantly enhances extraction efficiency by breaking down organic matter, while preserving the structural integrity of microplastics [10]. For marine sediments, a one-week exposure to 35% H_2O_2 has been shown to dissolve 92% of biogenic material without affecting common polymer types like polyethylene, polypropylene, and polyethylene terephthalate [11].

6.2 Comparison with Other Methods

Compared to other methods such as alkaline (NaOH, KOH) and acidic (HNO_3, HCl) treatments, H_2O_2 is preferred because it does not degrade the microplastics themselves [12]. Fenton's reagent, while often used for more aggressive organic matter removal, may have drawbacks in terms of microplastic degradation, making H_2O_2 a safer and more reliable alternative in many cases [7,13].

6.3 Practical Applications and Optimization

Hydrogen peroxide is particularly effective in wastewater and return activated sludge samples, where it can be used in conjunction with density separation for efficient microplastic extraction [7]. A method combining 6% H_2O_2 at 70°C followed by density separation has shown a recovery rate of 78% for microplastics in return activated sludge [14].

7. ADVANCES IN DENSITY SEPARATION DEVICES

7.1 Glass Separators

Recent innovations in density separation devices include the development of small glass separators, inspired by the Utermöhl chamber, which exhibit high recovery rates (94-98%) for microplastics smaller than 1,000 µm [15]. These separators are portable, easy to clean, and highly effective for separating diverse polymer types from marine and freshwater sediments.

7.2 Mini-Hydrocyclones

Mini-hydrocyclones fabricated via 3D printing are another promising advancement. These devices, capable of separating microplastics larger than 20 µm, can achieve over 80% efficiency, with the potential for even greater recovery rates when used in series [16].

7.3 Magnetism-Assisted Density Gradient Separation

Magnetic-assisted techniques, such as Magnetism-Assisted Density Gradient Separation (Mag-DG-Sep), allow for efficient separation based on density differences. These methods employ a gradient of $MnCl_2$ and magnets to sequentially suspend and collect particles of varying densities, showing strong potential for isolating polyethylene and other plastics [3].

7.4 Bidimensional Dynamic Magnetic Levitation (2D-MagLev)

The 2D-MagLev technique offers a dual advantage by enabling microplastic separation based on both density and size. This versatile technique integrates magnetic levitation with fluid dynamics to fractionate microplastics, further enhancing the accuracy of separations [17].

7.5 Brine Solutions for Density Separation

Brine solutions such as NaCl, NaI, and $ZnBr_2$ remain crucial for microplastic density separation. Research has demonstrated that NaI and $ZnBr_2$ offer superior recovery rates, particularly for smaller microplastics, underscoring the importance of selecting an appropriate solution for different study requirements [1].

8. CONCLUSION

Density separation remains a widely employed and effective technique for isolating microplastics from environmental matrices. While challenges exist, particularly in terms of high-density microplastics and organic-rich samples, ongoing advancements in both method optimization and device development are improving recovery rates and broadening the applicability of density separation. Additionally, hydrogen peroxide provides a reliable and efficient means of removing organic matter without degrading microplastics, making it a valuable tool in environmental studies. Future research should focus on standardizing protocols, improving recovery rates, and enhancing the applicability of emerging methods to ensure the accurate monitoring and mitigation of microplastic pollution.

REFERENCE

[1] Han, X., Lu, X., & Vogt, R. D. (2019). An optimized density-based approach for extracting microplastics from soil and sediment samples. Environmental Pollution, 254, 113009.

[2] Cutroneo, L., Reboa, A., Geneselli, I., & Capello, M. (2021). Considerations on salts used for density separation in the extraction of microplastics from sediments. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 166, 112216.

[3] Quinn, B., Murphy, F., & Ewins, C. (2017). Validation of density separation for the rapid recovery of microplastics from sediment. Analytical Methods, 9(9), 1491-1498.

[4] Zhang, X., Yu, K., Zhang, H., Liu, Y., He, J., Liu, X., & Jiang, J. (2020). A novel heating-assisted density separation method for extracting microplastics from sediments. Chemosphere, 256, 127039.

[5] Raguso, C., Pedruzzi, A., Cetojevic, E., Lasagni, M., Sanchez-Vidal, A., & Saliu, F. (2021). Extraction of microplastic from marine sediments: a comparison between pressurized solvent extraction and density separation. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 168, 112436.

[6] He, D., Zhang, X., & Hu, J. (2021). Methods for separating microplastics from complex solid matrices: Comparative analysis. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 409, 124640.

[7] Hurley, R. R., Lusher, A. L., Olsen, M., & Nizzetto, L. (2018). Validation of a method for extracting microplastics from complex, organic-rich, environmental matrices. Environmental science & technology, 52(13), 7409-7417.

[8] Ramage, S. J., Pagaling, E., Haghi, R. K., Dawson, L. A., Yates, K., Prabhu, R., ... & Devalla, S. (2022). Rapid extraction of high-and low-density microplastics from soil using high-gradient magnetic separation. Science of The Total Environment, 831, 154912.

[9] Ren, X., Breadmore, M. C., & Maya, F. (2022). Magnetism-Assisted Density Gradient Separation of Microplastics. Analytical Chemistry, 94(51), 17947-17955.

[10] Li, Q., Wu, J., Zhao, X., Gu, X., & Ji, R. (2019). Separation and identification of microplastics from soil and sewage sludge. Environmental Pollution, 254, 113076.

[11] Nuelle, M. T., Dekiff, J. H., Remy, D., & Fries, E. (2014). A new analytical approach for monitoring microplastics in marine sediments. Environmental pollution, 184, 161-169.

[12] Li, X., Chen, L., Ji, Y., Li, M., Dong, B., Qian, G., ... & Dai, X. (2020). Effects of chemical pretreatments on microplastic extraction in sewage sludge and their physicochemical characteristics. Water Research, 171, 115379.

[13] Al-Azzawi, M. S., Kefer, S., Weißer, J., Reichel, J., Schwaller, C., Glas, K., ... & Drewes, J. E. (2020). Validation of sample preparation methods for microplastic analysis in wastewater matrices—reproducibility and standardization. Water, 12(9), 2445.

[14] Sujathan, S., Kniggendorf, A. K., Kumar, A., Roth, B., Rosenwinkel, K. H., & Nogueira, R. (2017). Heat and bleach: a cost-efficient method for extracting microplastics from return activated sludge. Archives of environmental contamination and toxicology, 73, 641-648.

[15] Nakajima, R., Tsuchiya, M., Lindsay, D. J., Kitahashi, T., Fujikura, K., & Fukushima, T. (2019). A new small device made of glass for separating microplastics from marine and freshwater sediments. PeerJ, 7, e7915.

[16] Liu, L., Sun, Y., Kleinmeyer, Z., Habil, G., Yang, Q., Zhao, L., & Rosso, D. (2022). Microplastics separation using stainless steel mini-hydrocyclones fabricated with additive manufacturing. Science of The Total Environment, 840, 156697.

[17] Ren, X., Breadmore, M. C., & Maya, F. (2024). Bidimensional Dynamic Magnetic Levitation: Sequential Separation of Microplastics by Density and Size. Analytical Chemistry, 96(8), 3259-3266.